

Protocols for studying dynamic systems AGENT-BASED MODELING IN SOCIOECOLOGICAL RESEARCH

Michael Barton School of Human Evolution & Social Change Center for Social Dynamics & Complexity



Observational Social Science

 Social science inherently observational

 Can only observe societies 'in the wild'





Observational Social Science

 Cannot carry out controlled social "experiments" due to ethics, pragmatic issues







...and questions of good taste



Social Systems are Complex

- Even simple social systems are complex
- Amplified by
 - interactions of social and geophysical
 - phenomena,
 - change over space,
 - change over time
- Consequences include...
 - Non-linear relationships between "cause" and "effect" (e.g., threshhold and scale-dependent effects)
 - Emergent (often unexpected) properties of organization and process



Social Systems are Complex

- Human intuition often insufficient for making sense of data from complex socioecological systems
- We are accustomed to thinking in linear cause and effect
- Sheer quantity of interactions, feedbacks, and dynamics more than unaided minds can comprehend reliably





Social Systems are Complex

- Most common mathematical models inadequate to deal with this level of complexity
- Many treat social systems like chemical systems
- Most inferential statistics deal with static relationships
- Even dynamic systems models aggregate over space





Consequences for Social Sciences

- Emphasis of inductive over deductive research
- Intuitive interpretations of complex phenomena that appeal to 'common sense'
- Empirical generalizations substitute for explanation as if society operated like chemical reactions; lack of history
- Post hoc accounts predominate over predictive explanation of process
- Lack of replicability, and common sense interpretations make evaluation of results difficult
- Inability to characterize societies and their dynamics in other than narrative form
- Math restricted to supporting narrative; quantitative expressions of social phenomena often trivial



Consequences for Social Science

- External (and internal) perceptions of social science
 - Little confidence in reliability of explanations of social phenomena and especially of change
 - Ironically considered a "soft science" because of the difficulty of systematic study of its subject matter
- Limited contribution to policy





- A new kind of social science is needed
- Theory and concepts
 - Focus on social dynamics
 - Reconceptualize sociality and societies as complex systems
- Research protocols
 - Represent social organization, interaction, and change as explicit, algorithmic models
 - Add emerging cybertools to traditional research protocols of observational data collection and confirmatory statistics
 - Greater use of "Computational thinking"
- New dimensions and added value for existing approaches and data



- New cybertools allow us to explicitly and quantitatively represent complex human systems and their rich variation across space and time
- Important examples include
 - GIS and geospatial models
 - Agent based models
 - New forms of interactive visualization of multivariate data
- Provide opportunity to develop a new science of social dynamics





- Express complex interactions and dynamics in quantitative form that can be better communicated and independently evaluated
- Transparently test ideas about process and change in social systems
- Develop more explicit and testable hypotheses about social dynamics
- Create an experimental social science where modeling permits controlled replication of social processes. 'Re-run the social tape' (sensu R. Gould)



- Encourage robust hypothesis testing and verification—and explanations that are predictive (i.e., "forecasts")
 - Except for economics, social science leery of forecasting or even rejects it
 - Required, at some level, for policy input
- Forecasting of complex phenomena is possible (e.g., weather and hurricanes)
- Focus on estimates of likely and unlikely outcomes under given scenarios

PM Sun

8 PM Sat



Examples of Research Protocols for a Science of Social Dynamics

- Mediterranean Landscape Dynamics project
 - Large-scale research, spanning Mediterranean
 - Supported by NSF Biocomplexity program (BCS-0410269)
 - More details tomorrow
- Mogollon Rim Small Sites project
 - Small-scale research in Apache-Sitgreaves National Forests
 - Supported by US Forest Service
 - Will build on this example for hands-on sessions Friday



Mediterranean Landscape **Dynamics** A modeling laboratory for studying socioecological system dynamics Bottom-up complex systems approach to study the large-scale landscape consequences of small-scale landuse decisions, and their feedbacks Interdisciplinary collaboration Archaeology, geosciences, life sciences, climatology, computer science, geospatial methods and statistics



Mediterranean Landscape Dynamics

Spans Mediterranean socioecosystems

- Arid East
- Moister West
- Range of social configurations





MedLand Modeling Laboratory



- Agent-based simulation of human landuse: beginning of farming to beginning of urbanism
- Surface process models of ancient landscape and climate within a GIS framework
- Linked in a hybrid, coupled model environment so that change in one module can affect state variables that serve as input to another
- Test and refine against rich archaeological and paleoecological record of Mediterranean basin



MedLand Modeling Laboratory

- 3 interlinked modeling environments
 - Potential landscape model
 - Reference landscape chronosequence
 - Agropastoral socioecology model





Hybrid ABM & Landscape Model





- Household is basic unit (agent)
- Landuse decisions (GIS→ABM)
 - Potential productivity
 - Distance from village
 - Labor investment needed (e.g., clear land or simply cultivate)
- Landuse activities (ABM→GIS)
 - Clearing land
 - Cultivating crops
 - Fallowing
 - Harvesting crops
 - Gathering wood
 - Returns (GIS→ABM)

Hybrid ABM & Landscape Model

- Landscape modeling environment
 - Built in GRASS
 - Open source GIS, anaysis, and modeling environment
 - Raster (cellular) landscape
- Surface processes modeled with unit stream power erosion/deposition model(USPED)
- PDE in ± cellular autonoma environment





Experiments in Socioecological Dynamics: Spain

modern landscape

1000 meters

Neolithic farming in the Penaguila Valley, central Mediterranean Spain



North

early

Holocenel

andscape

Experiments in Socioecological Dynamics: Spain



ABM land cover/landuse

GIS landscape (erosion/deposition)

200 year coupled landuse-landscape simulation



Experiments in Socioecological Dynamics: Jordan





Tell Rakkan: channel incision (shifting cultivation, grazing, low rainfall)



Mogollon Rim Small Sites



Mogollon Rim Small Sites

- Patch-based survey
- Pre-ceramic settlement clustered near canyons







Mogollon Rim Small Sites

Cost Equivalent B

Structures

1 kilome 2 kilome 3 kilome

4 kilome 5 kilome

Pithouse Masonry Pithouse

Structur

- Socioecomic change with agricultural subsistence
- Evenly distributed sites in inter-canyon uplands

ffer ers ers ers ers and Masonry		A		ne
er srs srs srs acomblock and Masonry the Alberter the Standard Standard Cramic Parison Standard Standard Standar	egend	NSI		alex
er sis sis sis sis sis sis sis si	iffor	and the		73 2
ers ers ers Roomblock and Masonry All Onderse 4 Montels 4 Montels 9 So 300 9				9 30
ers ers Roomblock and Masonry	er 💋		102	7.18 S 1
Acomblock and Masonry a bornblock and Masonry b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b			10-4	
Roomblock and Masonry and Masonry and Masonry and Masonry and Masonry and Masonry and Masonry and Masonry and Masonry and Masonry a Statements			1 man	7
And Masonry and And And and Masonry and And And Masonry and And And Masonry and And And Masonry and And Masonry and	ers			
and Masonry and And And Masonry and And And Masonry and Masonry and Masonry and And And Masonry and Masonry a			1	
And Masonry and And And and Masonry and And And Masonry and And And Masonry and And And Masonry and And Masonry and				
And Masonry and And And and Masonry and And And Masonry and And And Masonry and And And Masonry and And Masonry and			JAK I	
And Masonry and And And and Masonry and And And Masonry and And And Masonry and And And Masonry and And Masonry and	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			/ * = }
And Masonry and And And and Masonry and And And Masonry and And And Masonry and And And Masonry and And Masonry and				
A Montess A Montess	Roomblock			
A Montess A Montess	and Masonry			
External environmentation of the second	s			
External environmentation of the second			~~~ 0	N A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
External environmentation of the second				0 2 km
Skilometers Ceramic Densities • < 58 g		CONTRACT AND		2 411
Skilometers Ceramic Densities • < 58 g				
Skilometers Ceramic Densities • < 58 g				B
Skilometers Ceramic Densities • < 58 g				
Skilometers Ceramic Densities • < 58 g		1		
Skilometers Ceramic Densities • < 58 g • 50 51 50 g • 50 50 g • 300 800 g • > 800 g • • > 800 g • • > 800 g • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Skilometers		
Ceramic Densities < 50 g		4 kilometers 5 kilometers	my	7 . 7
 < 50 g 50 150 g 103 300 g 300 800 g > 800 g > 800 g Chronological Period (ca, A.D. 400-1300) Basketmaker II-III (Early Pueblo transitional (ca, A.D. 400-300) Basketmaker II-III (Early Pueblo transitional (ca, A.D. 400-300) Chronological Period (ca, A.D. 400-1300) 		Ceramic Densities	N 71	- All
 50 + 50 · 9 103 - 300 · β 300 - 800 · β > 800 g > 800 g > 800 g > 800 g Chronological Period (ca, A.D. 400 - 1300) Basketmaker IH-III (Early Pueblo transitional (ca, A.D. 400 - 800) Pueblo (ca, A.D. 400 - 1300) Pueblo (ca, A.D. 400 - 1300)<td></td><td></td><td></td><td>- Ph</td>				- Ph
		 50-150 g 	COLA IC	V San San
 > soo g Chronological Previds Undifferentiated Ceramic Previd (rca, AL, 400-1300) Basketmaker II-HILEarly Pueblo transitional (ca, AL, Robel) (ca, AL, 800-1820) (ca, AL, 800-1820) 				
Undifferentiated Ceramic Period (ca. A.D. 400-1300) Extrasticmaker Hill (Early Pueblo transitional (ca. A.D. 400-800) Pueblo V farly Pueblo II (ca. A.D. 800-1230)			0-0-0	
Undifferentiated Ceramic Period (ca. A.D. 400-1300) Extrasticmaker Hill (Early Pueblo transitional (ca. A.D. 400-800) Pueblo V farly Pueblo II (ca. A.D. 800-1230)		Chronological Periods		
(ca.AD. 400-1300) Exastimatiker II-III (ca.AD. 400-800) Puebo Vicario Jack AD. 400-800) Puebo Vicario Jack AD. 400-800 (ca.AD. 800-1230) 0				
Pueblo (farty Pueblo II (ra.A.D.800-1030)		(ca. A.D. 400-1300)		
Pueblo (farty Pueblo II (ra.A.D.800-1030)		Basketmaker II-III/Early Pueblo	Den la compañía	13 12
		Pueblo I/Early Pueblo II (ca. A.D. 800-1030)	17	and and a second
(ca A.D. 1939-1300)			- 1050 -	0
Solo 20 States		(ca. A.D. 1030-1300)		A B
			1	



Testing Models of Dynamics

- Shifting cultivation in vulnerable landscape
- Serial movement of farmsteads
- Constrained by zones of exhausted soil caused by prior cultivation



Resulting pattern of evenly spaced sites

Peeples, M., C. M. Barton and S. Schmich (2006). Resilience lost: intersecting landuse and landscape dynamics in the upland southwest. *Ecology and Society* 12(22).



Testing Models of Dynamics





Testing Models of Dynamics

Srief demonstration





Modeling Not Just for 'Big Science'

- Can help to develop testable hypotheses
- Can test conceptual models about dynamics
- OPOTENTIAL FOR APPLICABILITY AT MANY SCALES
- BUT requires...
 - "Computational thinking" about social dynamics (models vs. simulations)
 - Familiarity with computer-based tools
 - Investment of time for 'intellectual retooling'
 - Investment of institutional human resources



New Uses for Extant Data

- Enormous and rich corpus of social science data—and more being created annually
- Modeling offers a new way to use these data
 - Not as the basis of empirical generalizations that rely on uniformitarian assumptions about human behavior
 - But as the means to parameterize and validate dynamic models of human society



New Uses for Extant Data

- Add experimental component largely lacking in social science
 - Conduct experiments about scenarios that did and did not happen—or scenarios that have not yet happened
 - Compare with known outcomes.
 - Examine long-term consequences of decisions
 - Apply to decisions and policy.
- Improve theory
 - Test theoretical propositions about dynamics.
 - Study linkages between individual/small-scale and group/large-scale phenomena



Tools for Science of Social Dynamics

Modeling software is rapidly changing

- Better response to needs of domain specialists rather than computer specialists
- Improvements to interfaces and installation
- Access to external data and programs
- Examples
 - NetLogo 4 <u>http://ccl.northwestern.edu/netlogo/</u>
 - Repast Symphony <u>http://repast.sourceforge.net/</u>
 - Metascape <u>http://www.metascapeabm.com/</u>



Tools for Science of Social Dynamics

- Social scientists need to be involved with the development of these important tools for our research (e.g., geography and archaeology with GIS)
- Need to train our students (and ourselves) in the use of new research methods
- Need to jumpstart a science of social dynamics through sharing information and expertise in forums like this one.



Infrastructural Challenges

- Existing venues for information dissemination and scientific scaffolding not well suited for complex system modeling
- Lack of training in relevant concepts and methods in social science
- Underdeveloped reward structure for social dynamics science
- Young technology that is rapidly changing
- Articulation with existing approaches in social and natural sciences



Open Agent Based Modeling Consortium

- Community of researchers in social and ecological sciences
- Improving access to computational tools for complex systems modeling
- Sharing experiences and strategies
- Promoting a science of social dynamics
- Get involved: <u>http://www.openabm.org</u>

Open Agent Based Modeling Consortium

